

DUCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
MEMORANDUM

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

JUN 11 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

TO: Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary


FROM: Anita Wallgren

DATE: June 11, 1998

RE: Ex Parte Presentation by Senator Conrad Burns, Chairman, Senate
Subcommittee on Communications, *In the Matter of Implementation of Section
304 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Commercial Availability of
Navigational Devices* (CS Docket No. 97-80)

On June 4, 1998, Senator Conrad Burns met with Commissioner Susan Ness to discuss the FCC's treatment of integrated set top boxes in the above-captioned proceeding. Senator Burns expressed his concerns regarding the security options for the set top boxes, and the ability of a MVPD to provide integrated boxes to its customers.

I am submitting this *ex parte* memorandum and attached letter to the FCC Secretary for inclusion in the public record pursuant to our *ex parte* rule 47 C.F.R. § 1.1203(a)(4).


Anita Wallgren
Legal Advisor

No. of Copies rec'd 021
List A B C D E

CONRAD BURNS
MONTANA
DEPUTY WHIP

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-2603
(202) 224-2644

COMMITTEES:
APPROPRIATIONS
COMMERCE, SCIENCE, AND
TRANSPORTATION
ENERGY AND NATURAL
RESOURCES
SMALL BUSINESS
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AGING

June 4, 1998

RECEIVED

JUN 11 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The Honorable William E. Kennard
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Dear Chairman Kennard:

I understand that the FCC is planning to adopt an order next month implementing Section 629 of the Communications Act regarding the commercial availability of customer equipment used in multichannel video programming systems. While I applaud the effort you and your staff have made to bring this very complex set of issues to resolution, I must remind you that the Senate overwhelmingly rejected a similar provision because of our strong concern that government intervention into this highly dynamic area would jeopardize network security and impede innovation.

In this regard, I understand that one of the critical open issues in your staff's deliberations is how a particular multichannel video programming distributor (MVPD) should be permitted to implement security protections in its network. For example, I have been told that certain parties have advocated that the FCC require MVPDs to offer their subscribers a separate security module and to preclude MVPDs from offering integrated equipment that combines security and non-security components. Such a proposal cannot be squared with the plain meaning and intent of Section 629 and its legislative history. Section 629 clearly provides an absolute right on the part of MVPDs to protect the security of their networks, and it would be contrary to the statute and to good public policy for the Commission to interfere in this area by limiting MVPDs' security options or, worse yet, adopting a particular governmentally-prescribed manner in which security must be implemented.


Moreover, Section 629(a) precludes the FCC from prohibiting "any [MVPD] from also offering converter boxes, interactive communications equipment, and other equipment used by consumers," and places no restrictions on such offerings other than that the MVPD may not improperly cross subsidize lower equipment prices with higher services prices. I do not see how the Commission could read a prohibition on an MVPD's ability to offer an integrated device to be consistent with this provision, especially given the well-expressed security concerns set forth in the statute itself and in its legislative history.

I note that this conclusion is consistent with allowing consumers to have the benefit of

choice and of any lower prices that cost efficiencies of integrated equipment would generate. In fact, the FCC already decided this issue correctly in a 1996 Order¹ and there is no reason to change that decision now.

I respectfully urge you to take these views into serious consideration as you write final rules in this area.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Conrad Burns", written over the word "Sincerely,".

Conrad Burns
Chairman

Senate Subcommittee on Communications

cc:

Commissioner Susan Ness
Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth
Commissioner Michael Powell
Commissioner Gloria Tristani

¹Equipment Compatibility Reconsideration Order, FCC 96-129, at ¶ 38 (1996)("[W]e see no need to preclude cable operation from also incorporating signal access control functions in multi-function component devices... Our decision ensures that subscribers will have several competitive alternatives in selecting component descrambler equipment.").